BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

PUBLIC HEARING HAD ON THE $10^{\rm th}$ DAY OF OCTOBER, 2000, IN COVINGTON, KENTUCKY

ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 384

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, MARTIN J. HUELSMANN, CHAIRMAN, GARRY GILLIS, COMMISSIONER, AND EDWARD HOMES, VICE-CHAIRMAN.

ALLEN McCLUNG & ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters/Videographers
P.O. Box 176215
Covington, Kentucky 41017
859.331.4292

amcclung@fuse.net

MR. HUELSMANN: Welcome. This is a Public Service Commission's formal hearing in Administrative Case 384. Before we get started I want to make a couple of introductions and a couple of little statements.

First of all, to my immediate right is

Commissioner Gary Gillis and to my immediate left is

Vice Chairman Ed Holmes. We would like to keep

comments germane to 384. We all realize that on

Friday there was a severe break in a gas line in

Newport. That break has been worked on very, very

heavily by Union Light, Heating & Power. We have in

the audience Dave Kinman and Bob Amato from our

engineering department and in the event you have any

questions concerning that they will try to answer

those questions as well as the utility has told us

that they will be around after this hearing for

comments that you might have or questions you may have

concerning what's going on over there.

So with that in mind we'd appreciate it if you'd keep the statements germane to what we're after. And we're concerned about gas prices in the United States as well as gas prices in Kentucky in particular.

We're on a fact-finding mission. We have

taken and issued data requests to all the five major utilities that we have in the State of Kentucky and ask them for information and then we're conducting these hearings around the state to try to develop information concerning the rise of gas prices.

We want to thank the Secretary Viola Miller of the Cabinet for Families, and Children and Secretary James Bickford for the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet as well as Ron McCloud who's the Secretary of the Public Protection Cabinet of which we're under.

We have -- we're also doing an experiment today. The person who, to my left, is speaking into that thing is a person by the name of Allen McClung, and he is going to take and transcribe this hearing. And that transcript will be placed upon the PSC website probably in a week, so that anybody can have it off the website and just by dialing it up and hitting print. For those of you who don't know our website number it's www.PSC.state.ky.us.

So that's one thing we're doing. The second thing is Adam Rabinowitz is the man on the digital camera, and he is going to be digitally typing this and it will be digitally streamed to the PSC; and once again will be on our website probably in a week. So

if you want to look at the hearing and check anything out both written as well as seeing, that's there for you.

I want to introduce the people that will be asking questions, and that's our staff to my immediate left. And Ms. Mitchell, Mr. Shaw, would you introduce yourself for the record?

MS. MITCHELL: I'm Anita Mitchell from the commission's legal staff.

MR. HUELSMANN: And we have interveners here. And the only intervener here is Ann Cheuvront from the Attorney General's Office. Would you just say your name as soon as the camera gets around there?

MS. CHEUVRONT: I'm Ann Louise Cheuvront with the Office of Attorney General.

MR. HUELSMANN: Once again, this is October 10th. We're in Covington, Kentucky and our utility here is Union Light, Heat and Power. And at this point Mr. Gribler I think you want to make an opening statement and then we'll have some comments by your two people with you, then we'll go from there.

MR. GRIBLER: Thank you, Chairman Huelsmann. Vice Chairman Holmes, Commissioner Gillis, on behalf of the Union Light, Heat and Power Company we're very pleased to have the Commission in Northern Kentucky

tonight. We think this is an important case that you have opened. We recognize that gas prices have risen significantly. And with me this evening, Randy Randolph, who's vice president of gas operations, and Todd Arnold, vice president customer strategy and call center services. We're hopefully prepared to answer the questions that the staff and interveners may have of Union Light tonight.

I know the Commission is well aware of this. I know that the press releases have said it. For the benefit of those in the audience who may not know this, the gas that is purchased by the Union Light, Heat and Power Company to be delivered to its customers, Union Light does not make a profit on that gas commodity. The consumers pay what the company pays to obtain the gas.

You indicated that earlier in this proceeding data responses had been requested from all the companies. Copies of those responses filed by Union Light on September 21st are available on the table outside the door where people came in the room. We invite anyone who is interested to pick up a copy of that material and see the depth of the questions and the answers that have been provided in this proceeding.

I'd also like to simply reiterate what you have said, and that is if there are people here who are interested in discussing with us the events that have occurred in Newport over the last six days we have representatives in the room who will make ourselves available once this proceeding is over this evening. And we'll stay as long as anyone would like to stay to talk about those matters.

And with that, I would like to give the floor to Randy Randolph for a brief presentation to the Commission.

MR. HUELSMANN: Let me swear you all in, and we'll do it jointly and take care of it from that standpoint.

(WINTESSES SWORN IN)

MR. HUELSMANN: Go ahead, Mr. Randolph.

MR. RANDOLPH: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. We appreciate the opportunity to be here today in this forum and series of meetings to discuss the availability and reliability as well as the price of natural gas to the people of the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

Clearly, we've seen a dramatic increase in the price of natural gas in the wholesale market from this time last year approximately \$2.56 to this year

\$5.31 per MMBTU is a dramatic increase.

We have seen during the last several years a steady increase in the demand. We've seen an expansion of our economy. We've also seen an increased demand for the use of natural gas in electric generation.

Further, we have also seen on the supply side, we've seen a steady erosion in our deliverability of gas at the wellhead. Some estimates had our domestic deliverability in the range of 57 BCF per day in 1995, and some estimates have that down to 52 BCF a day in the year 2000. So we've seen almost a 5 BCF decline in our daily deliverability of natural gas.

So we've kind of seen a merging of an increase in demand with a decrease in supply and a resulting increase in the price. And what I'd like to do is put up a couple of visuals here. I think -- I always like to put it on a graph. What we did was we put the -- if everyone can see this and I'll step back. We took -- it's a very busy graph and I'll pull that down to a smaller scale here at least a fewer years.

If we take the last ten years and we take the pattern of demand, or excuse me, of price that

we've seen, we have price on the axis on our left at dollars per decatherm or dollars per MMBTU, and in those months, January, February through December this is the NYMEX closing price at the Henry Hub.

We can see a pattern that generally begins to flatten out over time. We have the higher prices in January when demand is up and December; lower demand in the summer and we get that trend. But we have this oddity here and that's the red line and we have a break out in about March and April we'll break above \$3. That is exactly in this year where we saw the huge increase. We've seen some trailing off in September and then a strong increase, well above \$5, in October.

So, again, I'll put that -- I'll draw that back to the last four years and talk about that decrease in the productive capability, deliverability -- kind of aligned with an increase in demand. And we can look at '97, '98, '99 and then 2000. And we've got an anomaly coming; we have a real break out. And it's some -- the very reason we have seen domestically that our storage levels have been low on the supply side.

On the market side we've continued to put gas into storage to meet operational demands for the

winter. However, many of those that arbitrage or, if you will, bet that prices will be increasing in the winter versus the time they put it in the summer have been hesitant to put production, if you will, in the ground to sell at a higher price. I think it gives us a visual there of dramatic increase.

One of the things that we've seen also is a dramatic increase in the price of crude oil. We think that's significant because in the past as we've seen increases in natural gas we've had industrial customers have dual fuel capability. And what they've done is they've switched to alternate fuels, usually residual fuel number six or distillate fuel oil number two and at that point in time that freed up gas supplies.

What we see is October of '99, we had gas at \$2.56, we had fuel oil at 61½ cents a gallon, and we had crude oil at \$24.46. And if you'll compare that with what we saw in October this year at \$5.31 for gas, 92.4 cents a gallon for fuel oil and \$37 crude, it gives us an indication that the industrials there don't have a cheaper or more economically viable alternative to switch to, so they stay on gas, keeping demand high.

We've updated that to include the numbers

for November. We're seeing a little softening in crude price and we saw a little bit of softening in the price of natural gas.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

From the standpoint of reliability for our customers, and this may be somewhat of a busy math -a busy graph, but again, putting it in perspective, what we do is we plan for a 30 year winter event and a 50 year daily peak. And what we have here is the capacity that we utilize, and what I've given you is a model of our system. And basically, we tailor that decline curve -- and these are the number of days that we would reach that design peak at the top and we would look at -- we would have one day where we would possibly meet that level of 650 million. Then we would have a number of days -- let's just say our winter would be comprised of that first approximately 100 days to 127 days and you'll see that demand decline.

So what we've done is we've utilized a combination of capacity in interstate pipelines and storage and our propane peaking to ensure that our customers will be served under the designed criteria.

MR. HUELSMANN: Thank you. Do you want to eventually make us four or five copies of that so that we could have copies?

MR. GRIBLER: Sure.

MR. HUELSMANN: And I think Ms. Cheuvront would probably like a copy. Should we put it in the record?

MR. GRIBLER: Sure, we'd be happy to do that. If anybody in the audience would like to have a copy just let me know at the conclusion.

MR. HOLMES: Could you leave that up? I have one question on one of your slides later on.

MR. GRIBLER: Okay. Chairman Huelsmann?

MR. HUELSMANN: Go ahead.

MR. GRIBLER: Mr. Arnold would like to make also a brief opening statement.

MR. ARNOLD: Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the opportunity to talk about how Union Light, Heat and Power is going to help customers deal with the increased wholesale prices of natural gas.

We spent time looking at how do we assist our customers and how do we lessen that impact. And we really do believe that our existing customer programs are designed to help customers in this situation. We believe we have a variety of programs to help. We provide options for paying their bills. We have things such as our budget billing program, which we think will help because we have an annual

plan which is a fixed amount levelized each month. We also offer what's called a quarterly plan, which is more of a rolling plan; but a couple of options there to help customers budget their bills.

We also have an adjusted due date capability where we can move the due date five to ten days forward to provide more flexibility in that due date, matching the customer's ability to pay.

For those that are finding themselves getting behind in paying their bills we have a very flexible payment agreement programs where our customer service representatives are empowered to be very flexible in working with customers and working out terms to try to help the customer meet those payments.

We also work with the local providers of low-income assistance programs to help those who are having the hardest time paying their bills. We have programs such as the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program. Cinergy also supports the WinterCare Program where we match a dollar for every two dollars donated to this plan up to a maximum of \$25,000. These are programs that are administered through the Community Action Commission of Northern Kentucky.

We also provide free home weatherization to low-income customers. In fact this year, as well as

last year, we will weatherize somewhere in the neighborhood of 300 homes.

We also provide all Union Light, Heat and Power customers access to some other home efficiency programs. We have a home energy house call program that is free where we'll do a detailed in-home energy audit. And we also then offer on-line via Cinergy.com website the ability for customers to go in and conduct their own energy audit and they receive a very detailed, personalized energy usage profile.

When we look at these programs and the flexibility of these programs to help customers as they deal with the increase in the wholesale prices, we believe our focus shouldn't really be on necessarily designing a lot of additional programs as much as making sure that ULH&P customers know that we can help.

And so we're going to have our focus be on proactively making sure customers are aware of the potential impacts from these increase in prices and make sure they're aware of the ways that they can help themselves as well as a way that ULH&P can help them manage those bills.

We're going to do that through comprehensive communication program that's going to include some of

our traditional ways to communicate in terms of messages on monthly bill and bill inserts. We're also going to activate a special toll free number that's dedicated to informing customers about these programs and about how these programs can help offset the impacts as well as the -- the 1-800 number will have the ability for a customer to opt out and enroll in these programs or opt out and talk to a customer service representative more about these programs as well as making sure customers know they can access the website for additional programs.

We're going to make sure that we promote this toll free number, promote our website address, as I mentioned on traditional vehicles, bill messages and bill inserts. We're also going to do some things in terms of informational brochures that we'll distribute to our field offices in Northern Kentucky as well as the low-income assistance agencies. And we'll also be promoting the Cinergy Can Help through some messages that we'll do on bus signs for the Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky buses.

We're committed to helping our customers.

And, as I mentioned, we think we have programs that do that, and we're going to make sure that our customers know what Cinergy can help and to what level.

1 MR 2 Mr. Gribler?

ler:
MR. GRIBLER: No. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you. Anything else,

MR. HUELSMANN: We're going to deviate a little bit from what you normally see in a courtroom.

Ms. Mitchell is going to be first to ask questions.

If you all would decide who's going to answer that and start with your -- say your name and then answer the question, if you would, and then we'll go to Ms.

Cheuvront. Ms. Mitchell?

MR. HUELSMANN:

MS. MITHCELL: Thank you. Good evening. In item two of Union Light, Heat and Power's response to the Commission's Data Request of September 12th, it provides an assessment of Union Light, Heat and Power's gas supplies and its ability to meet the demands of its customers this winter. Is there a worse case scenario under which Union Light, Heat and Power might not be able to meet its customer's demand for natural gas, and would such a scenario be different than any other winter?

MR. RANDOLPH: Randy Randolph, vice president of gas supply. From a standpoint of worse case scenario, I don't think the upcoming winter would be any different than any previous winters we've experienced. We're always subject to potential

failures of pipelines, whether they be interstate pipelines or our gas distribution systems. There's always the potential also to have supply failures. Again, events of force majeure the wellhead. We have been known back in December of '89 we had a fairly substantial freeze in the Gulf Coast which I think drove temperatures down in the single digits at the Gulf Coast and there was substantial freeze-offs at the wellheads.

Absent, again, those events of force majeure, I don't see this winter being any different than previous winters.

MS. MITCHELL: Have any of the marketers or suppliers with whom Union Light, Heat and Power currently contracts for natural gas supplies ever defaulted? Have they ever failed to deliver gas supplies to your system?

MR. RANDOLPH: No, ma'am, they have not.

MS. MITCHELL: Is Union Light, Heat and Power aware of any potential bottlenecks in the delivery system from the wellhead to the city gate that might prevent the delivery of adequate gas supplies?

MR. RANDOLPH: We're not aware of any bottlenecks on the interstate system. We contracted

for capacity on those systems and in storage facilities that we fully expect and we have contractual agreements with service providers that it will be there on demand.

MS. MITCHELL: Has Union Light, Heat and Power reviewed its curtailment procedures for the coming heating season?

MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, we do have curtailment procedures and we have reviewed those and updated those procedures with our interruptible transportation customers. That's our normal procedure and we update all of our contact information as well as our customer information.

MS. MITCHELL: And you believe those procedures are adequate?

MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, ma'am, I do.

MS. MITCHELL: For the benefit of the members of the public that are here, can you identify the different components of ULH&P's wholesale gas cost and explain the extent to which those components are regulated?

MR. RANDOLPH: From the standpoint -- if I understand the question, it is the components of our GCA cost to our customers, and that's comprised of two components. One of those is a variable component,

which recovers the cost that we incur for the transportation capacity and storage capacity on the interstate pipelines. Those rates that we pay are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and are subject to proceedings and hearings and effective tariffs. The second component — that component makes up approximately 18 percent of our overall rate.

The other piece of our rate, which is approximately 82 percent is comprised of our wholesale price, the price at which we purchase gas from our suppliers. And that price is dominantly purchased at market price.

There is a minor piece and probably less than one percent, is also the cost of our propane peaking service which, as I indicated in my low duration curve there, covers those peak day events.

MS. MITCHELL: Thank you. With regard to the natural gas that is currently under contract, how does Union Light, Heat and Power determine that the contract is prudent and reasonable? In other words, what benchmarks or industry standards -- what industry averages are relied upon?

MR. RANDOLPH: From the standpoint of establishing our prices for the gas that we purchase,

1 we will
2 may 3 publi
4 these
5 -- as
6 last
7 pred
8 price

we will use a variety of market indices. And those may - - those are predominantly acceptable indices published by the likes of Gas Daily, Inside F.E.R.C., these publications. Also prices can be established on -- as to the close on the NYMEX or the average of the last three days of the NYMEX. With -- our efforts predominantly have been to buy gas at market driven prices and those can be, again, first of the month, they can be daily, they can be averages; but they are more or less accepted standards within he industry.

MS. MITCHELL: In item four of the response to the Commission's Data Request it compares customers bills in January of 2000 with what those bills would have been based on the rates in effect at October 1, 2000. Based on January's average monthly usage of 19 MCF this comparison shows that a residential customer's bills would increase from a \$127 to \$154. Is it correct that the only change in rates from January 2000 to October 2000 result from increases in ULH&P's wholesale gas costs?

MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, ma'am, I'd accept that that the only increase at this point would be the wholesale price of our gas.

MS. MITCHELL: In item five of the response to the Commission's Data Request it shows that ULH&P's

natural gas purchases are under short-term contracts

-- are all under short-term contracts. Can you
identify and describe the factors affecting ULH&P's
procurement strategy that favors short-term contracts
over long-term contracts?

MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, ma'am, and I would say that I would characterize our purchases as seasonal purchases. And we purchase gas for the winter months on a contract with suppliers and we go to the market on the off-season, if you will, the off-beat months.

Currently in the market there is no economical or operational reasons to enter into long-term contracts. The market -- the wholesale market is very liquid. There are -- there is a variety of willing sellers available on the market. We defined our supplier pool by the capacity that we contract for on the interstate pipelines and our supply -- or excuse me, our storage arrangement.

At this point in time, again, there appears to be no economic advantage in entering into longer-term contracts other than for seasonal gas supplies.

MS. MITCHELL: How does ULH&P determine the volumes that it will purchase from each supplier?

MR. RANDOLPH: We take our forecast, again, our 30 year design as well as our 50 year daily peak

and we determine the capacity, daily deliverability that we'll need to meet that daily peak as well as that seasonal demand. And we arrange for the storage in the pipeline capacity and then ultimately the gas supplies to meet those needs.

MS. MITCHELL: Does ULH&P take the maximum volumes possible from the lowest cost suppliers?

MR. RANDOLPH: We -- ULH&P requests bids from a variety of suppliers. And we ultimately enter into contracts that provide us with a great degree of flexibility. And in flexibility on the supply side we'll say swing. And as we know, and we experienced here just this week, our demand on our system increased from 30 million cubic feet a day last week on Monday to by Friday we were close to 200.

And we have to have the ability to go out and bring those supplies on. So it's very difficult at times to say which is the lowest cost supply.

There is a portfolio that meets our needs to fulfill daily swings and daily demands and also seasonal. And there are embedded options in those contracts that give us rights to call on that swing maybe a certain number of times.

And, again, we try to optimize the utilization of that portfolio to provide the lowest

cost, the most reasonable cost price of gas to our customers.

MS. MITCHELL: Will you provide an overview of ULH&P's disconnection policies and the type of modified payment or partial payment plans available to the customers that have difficulties paying their bills? I know you discussed a few of them earlier, but can you go ahead and give us your disconnection policies and programs again?

MR. ARNOLD: Yeah, sure. Todd Arnold, vice president of customer strategy and call center services. The major part of our program is working with customers ahead of time before they get the disconnection. As I mentioned, Low-income Home Energy Assistance Program, WinterCare programs are available.

Another key piece is really our Cinergy or ULH&P flexible agreement program where our customer service reps are empowered to work with the customer. And within a 12-month period a customer can have up to a minimum of two agreements. And in certain circumstances have more than two agreements.

Within those agreements, we're willing to work on a payment plan normally extended over a 12 month period, but they're also empowered in special circumstances to go as far as a 48 month period. And

it's primarily trying to balance the needs of the customer and the needs of the company and find a plan that helps the customer be successful and find a way to get current. And that's really a primary piece of our working with customers and our disconnection policy.

If it gets to the point that we do need to have a customer subject to disconnection, they become subject at the point they are 60 days passed due and have arrears greater than \$100. And what we do though is we proactively notify them during that period in that billing that they are subject to disconnection at this future date if they do not make arrangements or pay the arrears.

We also, as it gets within -- up to around ten days before that disconnection date, we will notify the customer then again with what we call a ten day notice that if you don't contact us to make arrangements you will be subject to disconnection on that date. We notify them primarily by -- have notified them by mail but we are also have a program where we are trying to do proactive telephone contacts and do the ten day notice through a telephone call, and then in that way if we are able to reach the customer we have the ability to right there and then

try to seek and we work out a payment arrangement to avoid disconnection.

The other part is, on customers that we're securing security deposits, if we have a payment agreement with that customer in terms of spreading out the payment of that deposit if they default on that deposit at that point they're also subject to disconnection.

MS. MITCHELL: In response to item six of the Commission's Data Request identifies hedging strategies as one means of mitigating the impacts of higher wholesale gas prices. It appears that ULH&P's position is that hedging strategies can stabilize gas cost. They should not be considered as a means as providing lower -- customers with lower cost gas. Can you provide a further explanation in support of this position?

MR. RANDOLPH: Yes. Randy Randolph. In our response what I was expressing was our position that hedging in the case of fixing natural gas prices will indeed stabilize your gas cost over time. And the level of that stability is really dependent on how much volume of gas you would purchase at a fixed price looking forward relative to your overall demand. And as we know that demand changes with the season and

from season to season, depending on the winter.

In the case of a fixed price, if you fixed a price and in the event the market moved up, prices moved up, obviously at the time of delivery you would have a price that was below market at that time.

Conversely, if you bought a forward price and fixed it and prices dropped you'd take delivery of price at a higher -- higher than market.

So from our standpoint if you look at fixed price hedging the risk and reward are symmetrical. Your opportunities to gain, if you will, buy gas below market, over time will equal your losses of the times that you bought gas below market. And from out standpoint it can provide stability, but it to us is not a way in which we can guarantee lower gas prices. And that's the view that we've taken with hedging.

options. To the extent that you buy options for future prices, you are paying a premium. Those premiums ultimately, if the options aren't exercised, have to be included in the overall gas cost. So that would be, if the option wasn't exercised, in the event prices didn't move up you'd have to add that on to the market price. So you'd be paying above market. So, that's -- again -- our view on utilizing hedging to

"lower" gas prices.

MS. MITCHELL: In the final paragraph to the response it states that -- I'm quoting, "One of the options for reducing the impact of price is a structured hedging program for a portion of the gas supply and budget levelized billing." Is that what ULH&P is currently doing with the 15 percent of its 2000/2001 winter season gas supply cost hedged with gas purchased during the summer of 2000?

MR. RANDOLPH: Not exactly. The 15 percent that we purchased to go into storage is for operational purposes. And if you would allow me, I could use -- if I could use one of the visuals I used earlier to show that. And I'll take us back to when the prices on -- one of the prices on, graph prices of our prices here. As we move along this curve this year, starting in April/May time frame, we are required by contract to start filling our storage on the interstate pipelines. We have minimum or, if you will, minimum levels and maximum levels we have to meet.

So as you move along that curve we were purchasing gas to fill storage. And it looked like a very wise thing to do, because as we bought we thought we were buying way out of the market. And as prices

kept moving up, of course, we kept filling. And ultimately we find ourselves with an overall weighted average cost into storage well below the current market level.

What we indicated that we -- what I indicated that we could look to with a structured hedging strategy would be that to the extent -- and we could use this as an example -- to the extent the average price over the last four years has been, let's just say \$2.50. Any time the price drops below \$2.50 we would fix ten percent of our portfolio price. And if it drops ten percent more than the average, then we fix ten percent more.

And to me that would be a structured hedging program. You would move that direction regardless of trying to guess the market. Because, again, guessing the marking again you're taking on that risk that prices will go, if you will, your way.

MS. MITCHELL: In item number six it also states, "However, nothing should protect or shield the customer's from market signals. Ultimately the consumer of the natural gas will pay the cost based on the market value. The consumer's need to see the true market value of the commodity as expressed in the price in a clear and timely basis." In light of this

response, is it accurate to say from a regulatory perspective that ULH&P advocates a hands-off approach to the increase in natural gas prices and to letting the natural gas market dictate retail prices?

MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, ma'am, ULH&P would be an advocate allowing the market to work, and also allowing our customers to see the value in the market.

MS. MITCHELL: In August 29, 2000, reported an energy venture analysis, I'm sorry, suggests that in the event of severe winter wholesale prices could reach \$12 in MCF, more than double the current prices. Would ULH&P suggest letting the market dictate retail prices if there were increases of this magnitude in the wholesale prices above the levels presently being experienced?

MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, ma'am, we would. And what I did was I -- you know, we were contemplating that, you know, looking back have we seen some huge increases in prices at city gates. Because, of course, we all lived and experienced the trauma of last -- summer before last with regard to electric prices. And, you know, we have seen earlier this year in New York at the city gate Transco's zone six prices quoted per Gas Daily and Inside F.E.R.C. as high as \$18.

The Columbia Transmission pool price back in February of '96, and that was another very difficult time in the business. If you can think back four February's ago we saw prices in Chicago in the \$30 range -- \$33 were the quotes that we found in Gas Daily. And Columbia Gas as high as \$11 at the city gates, basically.

So from the standpoint of allowing the market to work, it's difficult but there are reasons that it will work itself through. And ultimately right now I think the response is to look for industrials to move to alternate fuels, to look at those that can switch if they economical viable alternatives to do so, and for the producers to explore and develop additional deliverability.

MS. MITCHELL: In response to item nine of the Commission's Data Request discusses a universal service fund as a possible means of providing assistance to low-income customers. Many of Kentucky's electric and gas utilities have generally taken the position over a number of years that a universal service fund could only be implemented if it was authorized by the Kentucky legislature. Is the ULH&P's position?

MR. GRIBLER: Mike Gribler. ULH&P believes

that having specific authority for a universal fund is good public policy. We also believe that whether the commission could establish a universal service fund in the absence of specific legislation is an open question.

MR. HOLMES: Is that the end of your answer?

MR. GRIBLER: That's the end of my answer.

Do you want my legal opinion?

MR. HUELSMANN: Mr. Holmes would like you to comment further on that.

MR. GRIBLER: Well, let me explain. In other jurisdictions in which Cinergy operates we have had the equivalent -- what one might consider an equivalent of a universal service fund imposed by the commission under statutory authority that it felt was sufficient to engage in that process. I think that one can make a strong argument that the PSC has the authority to implement a universal service fund.

However, as I said at the very beginning, it's our view and opinion that it would be better public policy if there were a statute which specifically enacted the universal service fund for Kentucky.

MS. MITCHELL: Does ULH&P envision that a universal service fund complement the assistance

programs currently available or replace those programs?

MR. GRIBLER: We would envision that the universal service fund would complement the programs currently available. And it may well be that some existing programs would be rolled into a universal service fund; however, we think there are programs such as LiHEAP that exist on the federal level which are going to continue, we hope. We lobby for their continued existence on the federal side that those programs would continue to exist and be available to aid our customers. And that those are the kind of programs of need would have to work on a complementary basis with the universal service fund.

MS. MITCHELL: ULH&P offers a budget plan, as you told us earlier for residential customers, and you spoke that you have an annual plan and a quarterly plan, I believe. At what period during the year are customers able to enroll in these plans?

MR. ARNOLD: Our customers are able to enroll in this plan at any time, any day. And, in fact, our call center is open seven days a week, 24 hours a day to enroll customers. And we'll do that any day.

MS. MITCHELL: Thank you. On the annual

plan that you spoke of earlier, is there an established 12 month period over which customer's gas costs are spread?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, it's over a 12-month period. It's a fixed amount over that 12-month period with a settle up in the 12th month.

MS. MITCHELL: Okay, I'm sorry, did you say what time period it runs? Does it start in August or did you tell us?

MR. ARNOLD: No, the 12-month period starts at the time the customer enrolls.

MS. MITCHELL: Oh, okay. Can you tell us what percentage of ULH&P's customers have enrolled in its budget plans?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, 15,000 or 13 percent of ULH&P's electric and gas customers are on budget billing plans.

MS. MITCHELL: Did ULH&P step up its efforts to promote its budget plan this year in light of the increase?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, we have and we are. As I mentioned earlier, customers are going to receive information on these plans through bill inserts, messages on the bill, transit advertising and also, as I talked about, the information line will be heavily

promoting this program. We think it's an excellent program and we will be promoting it.

MS. MITCHELL: Thank you. Did -- ULH&P has had three gas cost adjustment filings approved by the commission since its natural gas pricing began increasing early this past summer. What level of gas cost is reflected in the budget payment amounts established for ULH&P's customers for this current budget year?

MR. ARNOLD: When we set up a customer on budget billing we use the current one at that time. So we're using the current one going forward.

MS. MITCHELL: I have no more questions.

MR. HUELSMANN: No more questions. Ms.

Cheuvront?

MS. CHEUVRONT: Thank you. Ann Louise
Cheuvront, Attorney General's Office. I'll follow up

-- I have one more question on the budget while we're
on that matter. On your annual, you don't do any kind
of quarterly checks or three months checks to see how
far off are adjustments to their bill?

MR. ARNOLD: We do. What we do is at the six-month period we do a look at the six months back and then we look six months forward and we use the current GCA. And if the customer on the annual plan,

if the customer is more than 30 percent off we will put a message on the bill recommending that they change that amount and for them to call us if they change it -- or for them to call us if they wish for us to change it.

One of the things we are looking at is the timing of that because of where the GCA is and their customers. Normally that works fine from quarter to quarter with the GCA, but because of where we are now we are looking at do we need to do a special look, do we need to do a special communication. And we will be looking at that and making some form of assessment that do we need to do a special notice to customers and consider changing their amount.

MS. CHEUVRONT: Like my budget, which isn't up here, gets checked every three months and if they see I'm maybe going too close to -- they want to have a zero balance at the end of my 12 months and they will adjust it. You only do that if the customer comes back and say, yes, adjust my bill? You don't automatically do that?

MR. ARNOLD: That's right. We have two plans based on what the customer wants. The annual plan is a fixed plan because some customers want to pay that same amount every month and settle up. And

they'll monitor that and if they're off we'll adjust it.

We do have what's called a quarterly plan which does more of what you mentioned which is constantly is looking every quarter at that amount. And there's not really a true up in that because it's every three months, but based on a 12-month budget.

MS. CHEUVRONT: Do you all have late charges?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, we do.

MS. CHEUVRONT: How much is your late charge?

MR. ARNOLD: I'm not sure I know that off hand, but I will find out.

 $\label{eq:MS.CHEUVRONT: I'm probably going to put} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \begin{subarray}{ll} MS. CHEUVRONT: I'm probably going to put you on the spot right now. \\ \end{subarray}$

MR. ARNOLD: Okay.

MS. CHEUVRONT: And I don't even know if it's possible, but is it something that with the gas increasing like it is and the problems that people may have now paying their bills that you -- the company may consider waiving if they can't pay their bills on time?

MR. ARNOLD: Waiving the late charge?

MS. CHEUVRONT: The late charge, um-hum.

MR. ARNOLD: That is something that we have not discussed. We will -- we will consider that, but I cannot make an answer today.

MS. CHEUVRONT: Thank you. In your answer to their data request you talk about customer choice being out there. You all don't have customer choice in Kentucky, do you?

MR. GRIBLER: Mike Gribler. We do not have a choice program wt ULH&P at this time.

MS. CHEUVRONT: Do you plan on --

MR. GRIBLER: We are contemplating that plan, as I believe the Commission is aware. Our affiliate, the Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company has a customer choice program for gas customers, and we are seriously considering bringing that plan forward and asking the Commission for authority to introduce it in ULH&P service territory.

MS. CHEUVRONT: I have one more question that I don't know if you all can answer it because I think you just collect money. Having these hearings and unfortunately way too many people know what I do for a living, I get a lot of -- I got a lot of questions this weekend while I was encouraging people to give to WinterCare or Winter Help and they asked me did it really go to the people. Do you know -- does

100 percent get turned over or is administrative costs taken out of that? Do you -- are you aware on how it goes once you all receive it?

MR. ARNOLD: I'm fairly confident that from a ULH&P standpoint 100 percent of that goes into WinterCare. We do not -- we do not take any administrative costs out of that. We match a dollar for every two dollars given by employees, given by customers up to a maximum of \$25,000. And I'm not sure -- I don't believe -- those funds, the WinterCare funds are administered by the Community Action Commission.

MS. CHEUVRONT: And so you don't know how they operate so you don't know what --

MR. ARNOLD: I'm looking for a little help in the back, but I don't -- I can get that answer.

MS. CHEUVRONT: Do you know how much you usually average a year on Winter Help?

MR. ARNOLD: I believe on WinterCare -- if you give me just a minute I may have that.

MR. HUELSMANN: Mr. Arnold, if you can't find it why don't we just supplement that with your -- like supplemental data request PAG? How's that?

MS. CHEUVRONT: That's fine.

MR. ARNOLD: Thank you.

MR. HUELSMANN: It would be easier that way.

MS. CHEUVRONT: There might be somebody back

there that knows. They can tell you. I'm sure there is.

One more question, please. In your answer to number six, your very last paragraph, you make the statement that you want to try to give out price signals to avoid the mistakes that were made in the '70's.

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.

MS. CHEUVRONT: Maybe it's a good thing I don't know. I didn't pay bills in the '70s so I have no idea what was going on in the '70s. What mistakes are we trying to avoid -- that you would like to see us try to avoid now?

MR. RANDOLPH: What I was referring to -Randy Randolph -- in the late '70s, we came out of a
gas shortage in the early '70s. And what we did in
1976 was -- in 1978 we went forward and passed
legislation to enhance drilling activity. And we
offered incentives under the Natural Gas Policy Act to
drill deep gas and get deregulated prices and drill
for tight sands and get enhanced prices.

At the same time we passed some legislation, the Fuel Use Act which curbed the use of natural gas

10 11

12 13

14

15

1617

18 19

2021

2223

24

25

in boilers and for other applications. So what we had were regulated prices that were driving the demand up at that time. And at the time we were paying as much as \$7 in the field for natural gas, it was being masked by prices of old gas at 50 cents. So what happened to us was once that came kind of to a head we had a -- you know, we had to pay, if you will, for it in the form of our reconciliation of producer contracts and take our pay in the '80s.

MS. CHEUVRONT: That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. HUELSMANN: The same thing with the late charges, you'll supplement those like a data request?

MR. ARNOLD: Yeah, we sure will.

MR. HUELSMANN: I see other people who have intervened but I don't believe anyone wants to ask questions at this point of the interveners. If I'm wrong on that raise your hand. Commissioner Gillis do you have any questions?

MR. GILLIS: No questions.

MR. HOLMES: I have one on your chart, the second chart that you have. Could you pull that one back up?

MR. RANDOLPH: This one, the second one?

MR. HOLMES: Right. It looks like for the

2000 prices maybe July or August there's a decline, then it shoots back up. What is the reason for that decline, do you know?

MR. RANDOLPH: One of the things that the, if you will, the hype early on in May was that we were going to have a very, very active hurricane season and tropical storm season. In fact, I think some estimates were up to 11 hurricanes and something like 40 or 50 tropical storms.

At the same time what we ended up with was a little bit milder weather, and the demand for gas for electric generation for some parts of the country dropped off and we saw both of those confluence of, if you will, realizations in the market drop it off and we saw a decline. And then we had that wave of tropical storms coming late, which started driving prices up back in September. And then all the hype of maybe an early winter pushes it on up.

MR. HOLMES: Do you have any recommendations on how to mitigate the future gas cost increase? I noted that staff talked to you about hedging as one. Do you have any suggestions or see any ways to mitigate future increases?

MR. GRIBLER: Again, you know, I think the market is going to dictate what the price is at the

wholesale level. From our end we can take a piece of that and develop a structured fix price or hedging strategy, which will stabilize the price. Again, I don't think that there's any way for us to buy gas on a consistent basis cheaper than the market. And there are a lot of -- there are a lot of trading shops out there that that's their whole sole purpose of business.

We look at our business as providing reliable and safe supplies to our consumers. And providing, again, clear market signals. But I think, you know, there are some ways we could stabilize it. You know, and right now it's a very difficult time I would say because when prices were in that lower range of \$2 there wasn't a lot of down side for prices.

There wasn't a lot a movement. If it moved 50 cents it went to a dollar and a half. Today it's at five — roughly over \$5. There's a lot of room to drop.

And the question is, can it maintain the current level, can it go higher. Well, you know, probably won't go higher and stay higher. Will it drop? It's reasonable to think that we can see, you know, prices drop comfortably back in the range of \$4 to \$4.50 or \$3.50. I would hate to go forward and buy prices — buy gas at these lofty prices for much term

and much length.

MR. HOLMES: In allowing the market to work

-- I think that was one of your answers -- do you see
the need for any additional increase in your other
programs that you have like WinterCare or customer
service type programs; I mean if we're going to let
the market, you know, dictate the prices do you see
the need in maybe doing something in your customer
service programs, assistance programs?

MR. ARNOLD: I think, you know, as we go through the winter season we're going to continue to try to be flexible and monitor what is really happening, what's happening with the customers, their ability to work through the flexible payment agreement. I think a lot of it probably comes in how flexible we are with the agreements to try help the customers work through the payment plans.

But, you know, we will continue to think of ways to help customers. But I really do feel our existing programs do a lot to help the customer budget, you know, budget their payments as well as work with them when they're behind on how to manage those payments. But we will continue to monitor -- monitor it continuously as we go through the year.

MR. HOLMES: Do you have a combined bill or

do you separate gas and electric or how does that $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$

MR. ARNOLD: They're a combined bill.

MR. HOLMES: Combined. So they have to make the total payment of that one combined bill?

MR. ARNOLD: Generally, yes, but we also have the ability to do a separation of service if they get to the point of disconnection and we've exhausted all the other things I've talked about. They can choose to have one service disconnected and try to get the other service current. And some will do that.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HOLMES: Do you unbundled your billing as to --

MR. ARNOLD: It's a last resort.

MR. HOLMES: -- electricity and gas?

MR. RANDOLPH: Yeah, the billing is detailed separately on the bill and then it's combined on total at the bottom and the due date is combined.

MR. HOLMES: That's all I have.

MR. HUELSMANN: You answered a question a while ago about the choice program in Ohio and the possibility of it coming to Kentucky with some type of choice program. As you know, Columbia Gas in central Kentucky has recently implemented a choice program down there and there are some marketers, one in particular I think, who is advertising that he can --

they can save ten percent on your fuel bill for the next several months. And I'm getting several questions. How is it that marketers can buy cheaper than the big companies, Columbia or Union Light? And I understand that they take more risk, they have the ability to take more risk and therefore can create more savings. But what's the short answer in the fact that you cannot buy and sell cheaper than marketers?

MR. GRIBLER: Mike Gribler. If I may,

Commissioner, let me take a shot and Randy may want to

jump in and leap frog. I think -- as Randy has said

earlier, the wholesale market is very much transparent

with gas prices. Marketers are getting the same

signals we're getting. But as you indicated, your

question I think gives the answer. They have the

option, the opportunity to assume some risks that we

do not assume.

Our supply is firm. Our capacity is firm. We have our statutory obligation to provide reliable service for our customers. Marketers may choose to use a secondary capacity market, which may be less expensive than the firm transmission capacity that we pay in order to create those price differences.

It may also be that the marketer is trying to develop the market, which is what competition is

all about. And they may be prepared to offer a lower price in order to pick up a market share.

MR. RANDOLPH: Randy Randolph. I guess, in short, they can. And to explain that I think your — if you look at our GCA, our GCA is not a true reflection of market price. It's as close as we can get because we have, if you will, to project purchases — or sales. And we have to apply those, any refunds during overs or underagess (sic) over the period.

I think our experience, what we've seen in Ohio is that recently is that those offers that came out on the table initially to save five and ten percent off the GCR there are no longer on the table. And we've seen let's say, a smaller group and a smaller set of options available to customers under choice, because they're finding it's very difficult to provide the same level of service that we provide any cheaper than we provide it.

And, again, that's -- there are a number of ways you can use transportation. We buy firm capacity on the pipes. There's a secondary market, we buy firm storage, those types of things. So, again, I guess in short, I don't think they can, but there are some other things that play into the equation.

MR. HUESLMANN: Would you give me an

indication or us an indication of where you're at with respect to injecting the wells this year versus last year and how is that coming?

MR. RANDOLPH: As far the question -- Randy Randolph -- we are on -- we are on point, if you will. We are where we need to be operationally with our storage. We are, I think, exactly where we were this time last year with storage.

MR. HUELSMANN: Some of us remember the winter of 1977-1978. Can Union Light, Heat and Power heat all the homes that need to be heated if we have a winter like that this year?

MR. RANDLOPH: Given our design criteria we should be able to meet those needs from our customers, yes.

 $\mbox{MR. HUELSMANN:} \mbox{ So I take that as a yes?}$

MR. RANDOLPH: Yes, sir, I think we can.

MR. HUELSMANN: That's all I have at this point or we have. Ms. Mitchell, do you have any more questions?

MS. MITCHELL: I have no more questions.

MR. HUELSMANN: Ms. Cheuvront, do you have any more questions?

MS. CHEUVRONT: No, I don't. Thank you.

MR. HUELSMANN: We're now going to go to

questions that people have written down that I should ask. And I see none of those questions. I don't believe anybody has done that. And we'll go on to public comments. And we had Mr. Randall Wilson was here, but I believe he's left.

And I also -- one of the persons that wants to talk is Carl Melcher. I think we'll reserve Carl, if you don't mind, until the third one on here. I think it's more appropriate for them at that point, if you will. Because I don't really think you're public. I think you represent somebody.

So we'll now go to public comments. And the first one on our agenda is the Cabinet for Families and Children as well as the Kentucky Association of Community Action. My understanding Karen Doyle is going to be up here as well as Kipp Bowmar.

MS. DOYLE: Hi, I'm Karen Doyle with the Cabinet for Families and Children. I'm representing Viola Miller, Secretary Miller today. We have some comments and, of course, we're very concerned with the people in the Commonwealth. They have to make a decision to buy fuel or buy food? Buy fuel or buy medicine? That will be the choice many of our low-income families, senior citizens, and individuals with disability will have to face and make this winter.

This is not a choice that we should be willing to accept.

The Cabinet for Families and Children administers the LiHEAP, which is the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program, and contracts with the Kentucky Association for Community Action to operate this program.

Secretary Miller is deeply concerned about the rising cost of fuel, especially the cost of natural gas for the low-income citizens of the Commonwealth who depend on LiHEAP to help them pay a portion of their heating bills during the winter. There are increasing indications that the price of home energy, natural gas, propane, fuel oil, kerosene, wood and coal is on the rise.

With the gasoline prices remaining high the cost to deliver wood and coal will rise maybe ten percent. But we expect to see an increase. With natural gas prices predicted to increase this winter by as much as 50 percent from last winter, the lives of the elderly, the low-income, individuals with disabilities, and families with children will be dramatically impacted. These vulnerable citizens will be forced to make a choice, that is, once again, buy fuel or buy food, buy fuel or buy medicine.

If the winter is extremely severe or there are extended periods of very cold weather the problem will be even more critical and could reach a dangerous level. Studies show the low-income households spend from 14 to 18 percent of the total household income on heating costs.

For example, a family of four with a gross income of \$1,200 a month, would spend from \$168 to \$216 monthly on heating costs. Conversely, other households spend 3.5 to 6.7 percent of the household incomes on heating costs. Studies show that the elderly are likely to pay their heating bills at the expense of food and medicine.

Nationally, LiHEAP is insufficient to meet the needs or demands, especially in the face of increasing heating costs. Under LiHEAP, only those who have incomes at or below 110 percent of poverty are eligible for help. Those who are above 110 percent of poverty level do not qualify for LiHEAP.

For those who are eligible the LIHEAP benefit is small compared to the actual cost of heating their incomes. In subsidy last year the average one time benefit, taking into account all fuel types, was \$92. Last year 94,931 Kentucky households were assisted. For natural gas the average benefit

was even lower, \$82.

In the case of the family of four, let's assume they heat with natural gas, their heating costs for the winter months would cost from \$840 to \$1,080. In crisis, the maximum benefit for natural gas was \$100 and will be \$100 again this year.

To what extent will these benefits assist a family if natural gas prices are increased by 50 percent? Last year 48,376 households were served. Individuals, especially elderly, are becoming worried, even frightened about the prospect of higher heating bills this winter as a result of recent news reports. One senior citizen stated, I am on a fixed income and cannot save for big increases in my gas bill. My medications cost almost \$300 a month. What am I going to do?

Kentucky is faced with significant funding issues. Only those with annual incomes at or below 110 percent of poverty receive help with LiHEAP. The LiHEAP benefits amounts will only cover only a small portion of the winter heating costs.

Many may have heard that President Clinton released energy funds and we are extremely grateful these funds have been released so early this year. However, these funds are not new dollars but are an

advance release of emergency funds that are appropriated annually for the President to release in times of weather related or energy related emergencies. Other than WinterCare and Winter Help there are no other funds available.

How is the state addressing the problem? By attending hearings such as this and speaking out, by facilitating two additional sessions which will address not only the natural gas issues but the increased cost in all fuels, by asking community resources to become more involved and lend a helping hand with this situation, by supporting increased federal funding for LiHEAP and weatherization programs, by encouraging utilities to maintain humane cut-off policies, by encouraging citizens to make contributions on their monthly utility bills to WinterCare and Winter Help which will assist low-income households. However, Winter Help is in Jefferson County only.

Our governor joined with other governors and asked the President to release the LiHEAP emergency funds before the winter begin rather than during the later part of the winter. On Saturday, September 23rd, the President released 400 million dollars in emergency funds. Kentucky's share of the emergency

fund is 4.7 million. At this critical time it is imperative to remember that states and communities action agencies cannot do it all. There must be a coordinated effort state-wide.

Therefore, the cabinet is asking all community resources to help, from elected officials, to church groups, to private sectors, to civic organizations, to utilities, to private citizens, to anyone or any group in the community who can help keep a citizen from freezing this winter; that is providing resource such as blankets, emergency shelter, space heaters, or assisting in paying a person's bill if Liheap is insufficient to pay the bill.

We are aware that community resources already help, but we would like for them to dig deeper and help more.

On behalf of Secretary Miller I want to thank the Public Service Commission for providing us this time to present the issues facing Kentucky's most vulnerable citizens.

MR. HUELSMANN: Thank you, Ms. Doyle. We're going to need to change the tape real quick. So let's take a 30 second break.

(OFF THE RECORD)

MR. HUELSMANN: Okay, we're back on the

record. Mr. Bowmar?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BOWMAR: My name is Kip Bowmar and I'm the executive director of the Kentucky Association for Community Action. We do operate the low-income home energy assistance program or LiHEAP, as it's called, with a grant from the Cabinet. I also want to thank the Public Service Commission for having these hearings around the state and also for participating in the two forums that we're coordinating with the Cabinet for Families and Children in addition to the five hearings around the state. And we had the first one of those hearing last night in Hopkinsville. we heard from a lot of low-income consumers. Calls were really picking up on the LiHEAP hotline. hearing from a lot of consumers who are very concerned about the coming price increases. And we're glad to hear that, you know, dollars are available through check off funds like WinterCare. I think there was a question earlier about how many dollars come into Union Light, Heat and Power's WinterCare contributions. I believe last year it was in the neighborhood of \$30,000. I think state-wide WinterCare, which is the largest fuel fund in the state, generated \$160,000 as compared to a LiHEAP program of about 12 million dollars. So even with the

other resources that are out there they're very small in comparison to LiHEAP. And LiHEAP alone is not nearly enough to address the need.

Even in our best years of LiHEAP we estimate that we serve approximately 60 to 65 percent of the eligible households. That means there's approximately another 120,000 households at or below 110 percent of poverty that we're not able to serve, approximately, because of a lack of funds. And there are thousands and tens of thousands of households that are between 110 percent and 200 percent of poverty, or as we like to call them the working poor, who are ineligible to receive assistance under LiHEAP simply because there aren't enough dollars.

So what can we as a state do about it? One of the things that the Kentucky Association for Community action believes can be done is the creation of a universal service fund whereby either a 50 cent per dollar a month line charge on residential energy bills it could create a sizeable fund to fund energy affordability to low-income households through things like payment assistance programs, percentage of income plans, weatherization, and other types of measures. And we believe this could go a long way towards addressing energy affordability for low-income

households in Kentucky. And we urge the Public Service Commission to consider that as well as other utilities.

We appreciate the opportunity to be here and would be happy to answer any questions that anybody has.

MR. HUELSMANN: Thank you Mr. Bowmar. I don't think we have any questions for you. Mr. Melcher, I think now is an appropriate time for you to make your comments. And just so that everybody knows, I've known Mr. Melcher a long time and we are both friends as well as colleagues. And he works with the Northern Kentucky Legal Aid Society.

MR. MELCHER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The last time I appeared in front of you was 25 years ago in law school. So it made me nervous then and this make me nervous also.

MR. HUELSMANN: You need to state your name for the record.

MR. MELCHER: Carl Melcher, M-E-L-C-H-E-R.

I work at Northern Kentucky Legal Aid. I've actually worked there since graduating from law school for about 24 years. And as you are aware, we represent people that are at or below 125 percent of poverty.

And the types of cases that we take are all civil.

And we address problems in family and housing areas and public benefits and also in what we call the consumer area, which is mainly utility bills.

When we have a customer or client come in with a utility problem we try to see if there's any assistance available. We try to see if the company has followed he PSC regs and its own customer plans. And there very seldom is enough public money to help the people. In almost all instances the PSC regs have been complied with.

As a matter of fact, the company is very lenient on their cut-offs, and frequently though there isn't anything that we can do other than filing a Chapter 7 bankruptcy for these people.

We don't normally file bankruptcies for our clients because if you're at 125 percent of poverty or lower your normally judgment proof from most creditors. But the gas and electric company, of course, can cut you off. And so we do file bankruptcies for a number of clients.

Currently in the office we have 135 open bankruptcy cases. Those are 135 families that had their gas and electric disconnected. I'm sorry I don't know the number per year that we file. It takes maybe four to six months to open and close a

bankruptcy case. I would estimate that we probably file about 200 bankruptcies a year. And in almost all of those cases the reason that we file is for gas and electric bills.

Down through the years we've also intervened in a number of rate cases to try to impact the problem that our clients see. We haven't intervened in a number of years because I don't think the company has actually filed a rate case in ten years, maybe even longer. But the last time that we were in a rate case the statistics were that the company has about 100,000 residential customers and in an average year they disconnect about 2,500 households. So it's a pretty big problem.

I think in the last year or so or couple of years the character that -- the characteristics of our clients has changed a little bit. I think more of our clients are working now, but I don't think that they're any wealthier. When I first started 24 years ago at Legal Aid most of our clients were receiving welfare and food stamps and Medicaid. Now there are very few clients that actually receive welfare. Most of the children receive some type of K-chip assistance with their medical bills and frequently the family gets food stamps. But most of our families now are

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

working, but I don't think that they're necessarily any better off. They're certainly not above 125 of poverty.

And it's a huge problem. And I'd like you to consider that in this case.

MR. HUELSMANN: Thank you, Mr. Melcher.

MR. MELCHER: Thank you.

MR. HUELSMANN: The next person to speak is from the Cabinet for Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Mr. John Davies, who is the director of the Division of Energy.

Thank you. I'm John Davies, D-MR. DAVIES: A-V-I-E-S and as mentioned I'm from the Cabinet of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection.

And first I'd like to thank the Public Service Commission for allowing us to comment this It's been a very full evening and because of evening. that I'll make my remarks brief and hopefully helpful.

Our purpose this evening is twofold, first to explain who we are in our role of energy within the Commonwealth; and secondly, which is perhaps more important, to highlight what families and businesses can do to reduce their energy bill through simply an effective energy conservation practices.

The Division of Energy is a state agency

whose mission is to promote healthier and more prosperous and sustainable future through the wise use of energy. The division's history extends more than 25 years, originating in the early energy crisis of the 1970's when national energy security and energy conservation issues gained public attention. Since its inception the Division of Energy through the partnership with the United States Department of Energy has helped Kentucky Schools and hospitals save an average of 25 percent on energy costs more than 1,100 buildings. We've developed an energy efficiency program for state government buildings, promoted the use of alternative fuel such as compressed natural gas and ethanol, and we prepared for and responded to energy emergencies to lessen their impact.

These are just a few examples of our programs. While our mission remains the same, our focus changes from time to time to respond to energy issues and challenges.

Today, despite greater efficiency gains we have made over the years, our state and nation still confront serious energy issues that affect our economy, health, and environment. The approaching winter season presents us with such a situation.

As a non-regulatory state agency, the

division works with others on a voluntary cooperative basis to ensure that energy emergency issues are resolved. We promote contingency planning on the part of both individuals and organizations to anticipate and reduce the impact of energy problems. Most everyone recalls the efforts to prepare for the new millennium. The Division of Energy was one of the key agencies working with the inter-agency task force to plan for energy problems that could have resulted from Y2K.

We have also partnered with the Division of Emergency Management, energy suppliers, other states, and the federal government to resolve energy supply and distribution problems caused by severe winter conditions. We did this as recently as of January 2000. I see our current situation requiring a similar effort to prepare for problems that may arise from higher energy prices and tighter supplies.

The Division in conjunction with five other state agencies has formed a winter fuels working group to determine how the state government can best prepare for potential energy challenges ahead. The other agencies are the Public Service Commission, the Kentucky Division of Emergency Management, the Office of the Attorney General, the Cabinet for Families and

Children, and the Cabinet for Economic Development, all under the purview of the governor's office.

Our winter fuels working group will address such issues as attaining reliable information under energy supply, demand, and prices through the Commonwealth, reviewing and updating Kentucky's existing emergency operation plans as they relate to energy supply, coordinating the appropriate response among state agencies and working with other states, the federal government and non-governmental organizations to implement solutions.

This hearing focuses specifically on natural gas, but all of us who have bought gasoline, read the newspaper, or heard a news broadcast in this past several weeks are well aware that the cost of oil is near record highs. Prices for petroleum products whether it's gasoline, heating oil, diesel fuel or propane are determined on the world market. And this market has risen steeply.

In less than two years a barrel of oil sold for about \$10. In recent weeks that same barrel has brought nearly four times that much. Tight supply and higher natural gas costs may lead to even higher prices for our other heating sources such as heating oil and propane. Together these three products,

heating oil, natural gas, and propane, heat 59 percent of Kentucky homes.

While heating costs may be up 20 to 40 percent this winter, we can save an equal amount by adopting energy saving practices. And let me share some of these common sense tips with you. And I must admit that these are not glamorous, but they do work and have been proven effective.

Just as a side note, we've brought publications that are outside this meeting room and they're on the table. And these include the energy saving-tips I'll address as well as other advice.

First, keep your furnace tuned and in top condition. Proper maintenance of your furnace can save six to ten percent on your heating bill.

Weatherize your home to prevent heat loss.

Apply caulk and weather stripping around your windows, outside doors, or where plumbing and electrical wiring penetrate exterior walls, floors, or ceilings.

Control temperature in your home. Keep the thermostat on the lowest setting which you are comfortable. Each degree you lower your thermostat you can save two to three percent. Set your thermostat back from 72 degrees to 64 degrees for eight hours, those hours when you're away from home

during the day. You can save five to ten percent on your heating bill.

Add insulation in your attic. Most Kentucky homes should have at least an R30 insulation level in the attic. If you increase your R19 insulation to R30 you can save another 15 percent on your heating cost.

Water heating typically accounts for 14 percent of your utility bill. Repair leaky faucets, insulate the water heater tank and hot water pipes and set your hot water thermostat to 120 degrees and install low flow faucets and showerheads.

On sunny days let the sun help. Open curtains and shades to allow sunlight to enter your home and close them in the evenings to retain heat.

Use kitchen, bath, and other ventilating fans wisely. These fans can pull out a house full of warm air if they're not turned off.

When fireplaces are not in use keep the flue damper tightly closed. Fireplaces are not efficient sources of heat. Although they warm the surrounding area, they also draw much of the heat in your house up the chimney. Just as a reminder, if you use your fireplace have it inspected annually.

Adopting these measures can lower your cost of heating your home while increasing your comfort

during the winter. Other measures you can take to reduce your energy costs include buying high efficiency energy star labeled products when replacing appliances and equipment and changing your incandescent light bulbs to compact florescent light bulbs.

Many of these energy saving tips such as adjusting thermostats, reducing hot water temperatures, sealing duct work, and performing routine maintenance on furnace equipment apply to businesses and industry as well. Asking employees to help out by turning off lights in unoccupied areas, keeping exterior doors closed as much as possible, and turning off machines and equipment when not needed will generate significant energy savings.

If you are a commercial or an industrial natural gas customer on an interruptible contract, be sure your standby equipment is working properly and you have adequate supplies of standby fuel on hand or under contract for delivery.

Remember in the unlikely event that we do experience actual shortage of natural gas, other interruptible customers are going to need the same fuels that you need. Also be sure that you stay in close contact with your standby fuel supplier.

As I said, energy conservation is not glamorous but it does work. Those in our communities who can at least afford higher energy costs might be faced with difficult decisions. We recognize the choices many low-income households will face. Pay for energy or pay for food or medication or life's other necessities.

There are no easy answers, especially in the short run. And all of us together need to start taking those actions which will make us less dependant on foreign oil and more energy self sufficient.

Energy efficiency and conservation are steps in that direction.

Our toll free number and web address are also provided in the materials on the back table. The Internet is a tremendous resource for energy conservation and ideas. And I encourage you all to visit our web site to link to these other sites. Also many energy suppliers to include Cinergy also have energy saving tips and conservation ideas on their websites.

This concludes my comments for this evening. Thank you for your time.

MR. HUELSMANN: Thank you, Mr. Davies. Next person is director of Consumer Services from the

Public Service Commission, Jenny Smith.

MS. SMITH: Good evening, I'm Jenny Smith and I'm the Director of Consumer Services. I'm going to take a few minutes to share with you some information regarding the consumer service's division, an explanation of our consumer complaint process, and finally some information on how you can contact the PSC.

The Consumer Services Division is composed of five complaint investigators, including a division secretary, one of which is myself. The Consumer Services Division receives approximately 30,000 calls per year. And to date we have logged 3,300 informal complaints. In the last four months we have saved the consumers \$85,000. And that's something they all like to hear.

The division has seen an increase in the number of informal complaints filed by customers each year. One reason for this is the commission's consumer education program that has resulted in television and radio ads giving out the toll free number. The staff is responsible for providing informal complaint resolution services for the state's regulated utility customers.

We do not regulate municipal owned

utilities, TVA, cable TV, sanitation districts or bottled gas. Complaints are resolved in accordance with the PSC's rules and regulations, the utility's filed tariff and the Kentucky State Statutes.

Although we can't satisfy every customer, most are left with a better understanding of the utility's response and the commission's authority.

Now that you know who we are and how we can help you as a utility consumer, let me share some information with you that will help you contact us. On the back table there is a sheet that looks like this and it has all the ways that you can contact us. The easiest way, as the chairman said to remember it is 1-800-PSC-INFO. I had never heard that. Nobody had ever told me. So now I know. And then we have the mailing address, by phone, and we do welcome personal visits. We're located at 211 Sower Boulevard, which is right off of the east/west connector 676 and we're located behind the Commonwealth Credit Union.

Thank you for giving me a few minutes to talk to you about our agency. And now I'll return the program back to the Chairman.

MR. HUELSMANN: Thank you, Ms. Smith. The next person that's going to make public comments is

from Daugherty Resources Mr. Mike Wallen, and I believe this is going to be Power Point and we need to move out there.

MR. WALLEN: I'm Mike Wallen. I'm vice president with Daugherty Resources. We're located in Lexington, Kentucky. We have a subsidiary, Daugherty Petroleum, who is a natural gas producer in southeastern Kentucky.

I'd like to start this presentation by showing you a quote from Secretary of Energy Bill Richardson, to the National Petroleum Council in 1999 when he said, "For a secure energy future, government and private sector decision makers need to be confident that the industry has the capability to meet the significant increases in natural gas demand forecasted for the twenty-first century."

In our opinion, there is no energy crisis. The long-term supply of natural gas they're not in question. And we need to remember while crude oil supply is a global issue, natural gas is an North American one. Eighty-seven of the natural gas consumed in the United States is produced here, the remaining 13 percent being produced in Canada and Mexico.

We're under price shock. The price of

natural gas is higher because of market conditions. The law of supply and demand is working and price is the symptom here, it's not the problem.

The National Petroleum Council at the end of 1998 projected what they thought natural gas prices would be for the forecasted period through 2010. As you can see, they projected natural gas prices to be in this year at about three dollars a thousand. We can see how wrong they were.

If you relate back natural gas prices in 1999 dollars -- and this is a slide from the Department of Energy Information Agency -- if we're paying \$8.80 cents now the domestic consumer equated to 1999 dollars were paying the same price that we're paying at 84 and 85. Those prices equated back for residential customers with '99 prices residential customers were paying 30 percent less, commercial customers paying 37 percent less, and industrial and electrical generation were paying 48 percent less.

Market conditions at work. Low levels of drilling activity during the past three years -- those are four points and we'll go through those individually here. With the low levels of drilling activity as you can see this is the number of wells drilled per year in the lower 48 states. As you can

see from 1991 through 1998 and 1999 actually that level decreased. There wasn't any significant increase in the number of wells drilled in this country.

U.S. natural gas production. If you look at the annual production adds versus declines in billion cubic feet a day, you can see that in 1999 we're going to be using 2.2 BCF a day, more than we're going to be adding back in.

The third column in each one of those is the number of drilling rigs that have active over that period. As you can see, drilling activity in the '90s was substantially off what it was in the '80s -- '70s and '80s. We're seeing rig activity pick back up now with the prices rising where they are.

Increase in demand for natural gas. This is another Natural Petroleum Counsel study where they're projecting the amount of gas that will be needed in the United States in trillion cubic feet. As you can see their projected usage of nature gas into the next 10 or 15 years will grow substantially approaching 30 trillion feet by the year 2010. Natural gas consumption, here it is graphed since 1986 through estimated 2000, and we're already at like 22 trillion cubic feet a year. Natural gas demand by sector.

Again, this a DOE slide that shows that the biggest increase in natural gas usage in this country and it's already started is with electricity generation. The other columns there, industrial, residential, commercial, are growing at fairly normal rates. But the electrical generation side of natural gas usage is what's increasing so dramatically. That growth from 1998 through 2010 is focused on 47 percent of electrical generation. That will be the increase of natural gas usage in electrical generation in the time period.

That National Petroleum Council who prepared those last projections, this is the criteria they used for those, that the GDP will grow at two and a half percent per year, 140 gigawatts of new power will come on-line by 2015, 70 percent of the new gas fuel power projects could switch fuels, no new nuclear facilities will be built, 30 gigawatts of nuclear capability for generating electricity is up for re-licensing.

They're projecting that 50 percent of those will have their license extended and 50 percent of those plants will be retired. And they're projecting coal usage up about 11 percent.

The third condition here, reduce short-term deliverability. Here's the U.S. dry gas production

over the past few years. As you can see production levels since 1994 have been relatively stable.

Again, domestic gas production has been flat since '94. Domestic production will slightly decline in the year 2000. Canadian production, which a lot of people are counting on to grow more rapidly, is growing a lot slower than expected. And we're now focusing on demand.

And increase in price, that's the fourth condition. Here's a profile that a lot of people don't understand. When most people in this country think about energy they think about big oil companies being producers, but in fact 73 percent of the natural gas in the United States is produced by independents with only 27 percent being produced by the major companies.

An independent producer generally operates solely in the expiration and production segment of the industry. And typically they do not refine or process natural gas and products or sell their production on a retail basis.

Independent producers range in size from large public companies such as Anadarko and Devon to small privately held companies like most of the more than 200 companies that make up the Kentucky Oil and

Gas Association.

In the State of Kentucky, just for a little reference, the State of Kentucky produces the column on the right, about 78 BCF of natural gas in 1999.

The bar chart shows you the amount of wells drilled in the State of Kentucky since 1990. Again, referring back to the lower number of rigs running, the lower number of wells, as you can see, the drilling has been down over the past few years.

Natural gas consumption in the State of
Kentucky -- now we took these numbers through August
of 1999 -- or I'm sorry, August of 2000 -- through
August of 2000 the State of Kentucky has already
consumed as much gas as it had in the whole year of
1999. So consumption in the State of Kentucky is way
up. I don't know the answer to that, but it is.

Production value in the state, Kentucky will again produce out of that 78 BCF in 1999 a generated value of about 155 million. For 2000, with the gas prices where they are, that figure might approach 300 million.

Components of a healthy oil and gas industry, and this is another factor on a national level that the oil an gas industry has faced problems with in the past few years we're losing skilled

workers because of the downturns in the industry. The industry hadn't been able to get adequate financial returns; that's why they haven't been drilling so much. They've been idle so long that we're getting in a situation where drilling rigs and service equipment is not available on a national level, not so much on a Kentucky one. Access to public lands has been throttled back, but there hadn't been any governmental restraints and we don't think there need to be any if we're going to be able to develop the natural gas that this county is going to need.

So our bottom line is, we don't want to jump into a situation like we did in the '70s and '80s where tight supplies get into a price surge. If government inserts price caps, we go into the spiral where there's less supply, more demand, regulated shortage that end up in curtailment. We request the Public Service Commission to look at those and not get into a situation where anyone thinks that price controls are needed.

Again, that's the end of the presentation, but I thank the Commission for allowing me to speak and present that.

MR. HUELSMANN: Thank you, Mr. Wallen.

There's a lot of people in the audience and I guess

the last opportunity for someone to make public comments at this point. Okay, with that we go to closing statements. If you have a closing statement we'd appreciate it be as brief as you can. Ms. Cheuvront, you first?

MS. CHEUVRONT: Thank you. I think at our hearing last week I said that I was going to day ditto, but I guess I said a little bit more than that.

We appreciate the opportunity to be here and ask questions of the utility to find out where they stand on different issues. We recognize that this is a national problem not just in Kentucky, and we would urge this Commission not to add any increase to these prices that are already going up. Thank you.

MR. HUELSMANN: Ms. Mitchell?

MS. MITHCELL: No, I have nothing further.

MR. HUELSMANN: Mr. Gribler?

MR. GRIBLER: Chairman Huelsmann, very briefly, let me express on behalf of the Union Light, Heat and Power Company our appreciation for the opportunity to address the commission tonight. I'd like to thank the public participants for their input. I'm particularly pleased that the Commission is in Covington, Kentucky where our consumers have the opportunity to sit in and understand what the hearing

process is and what the Commission is doing in this matter.

And we would also agree with Ms. Cheuvront that we would urge the Commission not to do anything that would add to the price that is being paid by our consumers. And we trust that will be the case.

We thank you very much for being here.

MR. HUELSMANN: Thank you, Mr. Gribler. And I want to publicly thank you and your staff for appearing here and doing an excellent job to educate us.

As chairman, this concludes at least this part of the hearing. And we will have two more hearings and hopefully we'll have an order out sometime in November or December. And I think I get the prerogative to make the last statement. As I say to a bunch of people that working together we, the PSC and the public and the utilities, can make Kentucky a better place in which to live. Thank you all for coming.